## L'étroite complémentarité entre la vision et le toucher:

 Études psychophysiques et cérébrales chez la personne voyante et non-voyante

■UCLouvain
[ Olivier Collignon ]
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## "Low-level"

## Visuo-Tactile integration

## Simple detection task: Uni- vs Multi-sensory Integration



Stimulation Conditions
$\left.\begin{array}{rl}\text { Unimodal } & \left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Single Visual = V1, V2, V3, V4 } \\ \text { Single Tactile }=\mathrm{T} 1, \mathrm{~T} 2, \mathrm{~T} 3, \mathrm{~T} 4\end{array}\right.\end{array}\right\} \begin{aligned} & \text { Within-modal } \\ & \left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Double Visual (Aligned) }=\mathrm{V} 1 / \mathrm{V} 2, \mathrm{~V} 3 / \mathrm{V} 4 \\ \text { Double Visual (Misaligned) }=\mathrm{V} 1 / \mathrm{V} 3, \mathrm{~V} 2 / \mathrm{V} 4 \\ \text { Double Tactile (Aligned) }=\mathrm{T} 1 / \mathrm{T} 2, \mathrm{~T} 3 / \mathrm{T} 4 \\ \text { Double Tactile (Misaligned) }=\mathrm{T} 1 / \mathrm{T} 3, \mathrm{~T} 2 / \mathrm{T} 4\end{array}\right. \\ & \text { Cross-modal }\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Visuo-Tactile (Aligned) }=\mathrm{V} 1 / \mathrm{T} 2, \mathrm{~V} 2 / \mathrm{T} 1, \mathrm{~V} 3 / \mathrm{T} 4, \mathrm{~V} 4 \mathrm{~T} 3 \\ \text { Visuo-Tactile (Misaligned) }=\mathrm{V} 1 / \mathrm{T} 3, \mathrm{~V} 2 / \mathrm{T} 4, \mathrm{~V} 3 / \mathrm{T} 1, \mathrm{~V} 4 / \mathrm{T} 2\end{array}\right.\end{aligned}$
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## Redundancy Gain
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## Does multisensory spatial congruence plays a role?

Condition 1: respond to all stimuli

Condition 2: respond to right stimuli only
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The shared location in external space determines crossmodal spatial effects


The shared location in external space determines crossmodal spatial effects


## Experiment 2: Hand Occluded

## C. Left fusiform/lingual gyrus


D. Right fusiform/lingual gyrus



Vision and Touch at the SAME external location

## Automatic remapping of touch in external space?

## Tactile Temporal Order Judgement Task



## Tactile Temporal Order Judgement Task
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## Crossing Hands



## Crossing Sticks



## Why?

## > Remap somatosensory coordinate onto external coordinate for VISION

## External remapping of touch in the blind




Neural correlates of the external remapping of touch in the blind
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Neural correlates of the external remapping of touch in the blind
 b Sighted [Crossed > Uncrossed]
a Performance in the TOJ task


C Sighted $>$ Blind [Crossed $>$ Uncrossed]

d PPI: Blind> Sighted [Crossed > Uncrossed]


# "High-level" Visuo-Tactile integration 

 [Body Perception]
## Rubber Hand Illusion





## Out of Body Experiment

## OUT-OF-BODY EXPERIENCE

1. A subject wears goggles showing the view from a camera behind him. An experimenter prods the subject's chest at the same time

2. The subject sees the hand prodding towards the camera as he feels his chest being prodded. He also sees his body from behind. This creates a vivid sense that his real body is floating behind the one he sees.
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# "High-level" Visuo-Tactile integration 

[Shape Perception]

## Humans integrate visual and haptic

## information in a statistically

 optimal fashion
## Marc 0. Ernst* \& Martin S. Banks
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## Convergence of visual and tactile object recognition



# Is the lateral occipito-temporal cortex encoding supramodal shape 

or

# Semantic representation of objects 

or

Visual imagery

## Supramodal shape representation in the human brain?




## Supramodal shape representation in the human brain?
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## Supramodal shape representation in the human brain?
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## Neural Dissimilarity Matrix



- Not only distinguish (MVPA) but look at the similarity between brain and model space

